## NOT RECOMMENDED FOR FULL-TEXT PUBLICATION File Name: 09a0472n.06

No. 08-5645

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE	FILED  Jul 08, 2009  LEONARD GREEN, Clerk	
In Re: HERBERT S. MONCIER,	) ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR	Į
Appellant.	) THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF ) TENNESSEE )	

Before: DAUGHTREY, ROGERS, and KETHLEDGE, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM. Attorney Herbert Moncier appeals his seven-year suspension from the bar of the Eastern District of Tennessee for conduct described, in some detail, in another opinion released today. *See United States v. Moncier*, No. 07-6053 (6th Cir. July 8, 2009). We have considered Mr. Moncier's arguments in favor of reversal, and reviewed the substantial record in this case. And having done so, we have little to add to the District Court's exhaustive, painstaking, and well-reasoned opinion in this case. Mr. Moncier's conduct, in short, was precisely as Chief Judge Collier described it to be.

We write further to address only one of Moncier's arguments on appeal: Namely, that in disciplining Mr. Moncier, Chief Judge Collier improperly relied upon Judge Greer's factual and legal findings in Mr. Moncier's criminal-contempt case. It is true that, in the course of his 80-page

opinion suspending Mr. Moncier from practice, Chief Judge Collier cited Judge Greer's factual findings in the contempt case. But any error with respect to those citations was harmless. Mr. Moncier admitted to the conduct that was the basis of his suspension. He admitted, for example, that he interrupted Judge Greer, that he threatened to abandon his client—a criminal defendant—and that he disobeyed a direct order of the court. See April 29, 2008 Memorandum & Order at 58, 59-60, 63. Chief Judge Collier also noted that his findings were based on his own review of the transcript of the hearing in which the misconduct occurred. See id. at 55, 58, 59-60, 63. Moreover, Chief Judge Collier specifically did not rely on Judge's Greer's legal finding of contempt. See id. at 24. Hence this argument, like Mr. Moncier's other ones, does not entitle him to relief.

The District Court's April 29, 2008 Memorandum and Order is affirmed.

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

## FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT

Leonard Green Clerk 100 EAST FIFTH STREET, ROOM 540 POTTER STEWART U.S. COURTHOUSE CINCINNATI, OHIO 45202-3988

Tel. (513) 564-7000 www.ca6.uscourts.gov

Filed: July 08, 2009

Mr. Ralph E. Harwell Law Offices of Ralph E. Harwell 800 S. Gay Street Suite 2131 First Tennessee Plaza Knoxville, TN 37929

Re: Case No. 08-5645, *In Re: Herbert Moncier* Originating Case No. : 08-00009

Dear Mr. Harwell:

The Court issued the enclosed (Order/Opinion) today in this case.

Sincerely yours,

s/Patricia J. Elder Senior Case Manager Direct Dial No. 513-564-7034 Fax No. 513-564-7096

cc: Ms. Patricia L. McNutt

Enclosure

Mandate to issue